

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS F O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspilo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/945,297	08/31/2001	Manoel Tenorio	020431.0922	2037
53184 7590 03/21/2008 i2 TECHNOLOGIES US, INC.			EXAMINER	
ONE i2 PLACE, 11701 LUNA ROAD DALLAS, TX 75234		•	CHEUNG, MARY DA ZHI WANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3694	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/21/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 09/945.297 TENORIO, MANOEL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit MARY CHEUNG 3694 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 December 2007. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-20 and 22-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2.4-11.13-20 and 22-29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner, Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Diselesure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CC)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Amilication

Art Unit: 3694

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Status of the Claims

1. This action is in response to the amendment filed on December 31, 2007. Claims 1-

2, 4-11, 13-20 and 22-29 are pending. Claims 1, 10, 19 and 28 are amended. All pending claims are examined.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed December 31, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to the applicant's arguments that Haji (US 2002/0035511 A1) fails to teach a "system for locally generating price quotes", examiner believes that Haji clearly teaches this limitation, in particular, Haji states "the program to calculate a quotation calculates the total sale price therefor based on the selection result and display the same. By utilizing this Web page, calculation services can be provided to the user without giving a load the server" (see ¶ 11).

In response to the applicant's arguments that Haji fails to teach the newly amended limitations "a network coupled with a plurality of buyers and a plurality of sellers" and "a database associated with each of the plurality of buyers", the examiner has implemented Shkedy (US 6,260,024 B1) to satisfy this part (see the office action below).

In response to the applicant's arguments that the cited prior art fails to teach access a request for quote specifying an order comprising quantities of one or more items, examiner believes that Haii teaches access a request for quote specifying an

Art Unit: 3694

order (see discussion above and the office action below), and Halperin (US 6,105,004) teaches pricing an order comprising quantities of one or more items (column 4 lines 1-4 and column 5 lines 37-45 and Figs. 5-7). Thus, the combined teaching of Haji and Halperin teaches this limitation.

The applicant challenged the examiner's official notice. The official notice was given for claim 2 and its parallel claims 11 and 20 (see previous office action mailed on October 31, 2007). The examiner is now providing Tsiounis (US 2001/0032878 A1) as evidence to support her position regarding the official notice of encrypting information. Tsiounis not only teaches encrypting information, but also states that encryption is well known in the art (see ¶ 17, 40 and office action below).

In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988) and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, it is general knowledge available to one of ordinary skill in the art to include the <u>quantities</u> of items for a specified orders.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 3694

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 1, 4-10, 13-19 and 22-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haji et al., US 2002/0035511 A1 in view of Shkedy, US 6,260,024 B1, and in further view of Halperin et al., US 6,105,004.

As to claims 1 and 28, Haji teaches a system for locally generating price quotes, the system comprising: a server associated with a buyer, wherein the server is operable to (¶ 11, 75 and Figs. 1-2; "a server associated with a buyer" corresponds to the receiving terminal in Haji's teaching):

- Receiving one or more pricing tools from one or more of the plurality of
  sellers, the one or more pricing tools operable to generate price quotes for
  one or more corresponding sellers (¶ 10, 70-75 and Figs. 1-2; "seller"
  corresponds to "Server" as shown in Fig. 1 of Haji, and "pricing tools"
  corresponds to the quotation calculation program that generates price quotes
  for the computer products);
- Locally store the one or more pricing tools received from the one or more of
  the plurality of sellers in the database, such that the one or more pricing tools
  are locally accessible to the one or more server associated with the server (¶
  70-75 and Figs. 1-2);
- Access a request for quote (RFQ) specifying an order, the RFQ requesting a
  price quote for the order (¶ 74, 93-94 and Fig. 2);

Application/Control Number: 09/945,297 Art Unit: 3694

- Communicate the RFQ to the locally accessible one or more pricing tools (¶ 74 and Fig. 2);
- Using the locally accessible one or more pricing tools received from one or more of the plurality of sellers, locally generate one or more price quotes for the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2);
- Providing the locally generated one or more price quotes for the order for possible further action by the buyer (¶ 74-77 and Fig. 2).

Haji does not specifically teach a network coupled with a plurality of buyers and a plurality of sellers, and a database associated with each of the plurality of buyers.

However, Shkedy teaches this matter (Figs. 1-2). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow buyers and sellers in Haji's teaching to be coupled to a network and the buyers' information are stored in a database for better centralize transactions.

Haji modified by Shkedy does not specifically teach the specified order comprising <u>quantities</u> of one or more items. However, Halperin teaches pricing an order including calculation of quantities of the ordered items (column 4 lines 1-4 and column 5 lines 37-45 and Figs. 5-7). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the specified order in the teaching of Haji modified by Shkedy to include quantities of the ordered items as taught by Halperin so that the buyer can have better idea of the total costs of the order that have multiple purchased items.

Art Unit: 3694

As to claim 4, Haji in view of Halperin teaches a buyer purchase items from a seller as discussed above. Haji in view of Halperin does not specifically teach a buyer group comprising two or more buyers buying items as a single entity. Examiner takes <a href="Office Notice">Office Notice</a> that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the teachings of Haji modified by Halperin to include the feature of a buyer group comprising two or more buyers buying items as a single entity for sharing better price of the purchased items.

As to claim 5, Haji further teaches determining validation of the pricing information received from the buyer by the seller, and the pricing information is valid only if the pricing tool is the latest version; and if the pricing information is invalid or outdated, recalculation is performed based on the updated price tool (¶ 88-91). Haji does not specifically teach the buyer will receive the updated version of the pricing tools. However, since Haji teaches the pricing information is valid only use the latest version of the pricing tools (¶ 89) and the pricing information is calculated in real time (¶ 83), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the buyer to receive the latest version of the pricing tools for accurately calculate the pricing information.

As to claim 6, locally generate a price quote for a modified order is taught by Haji as a price quote is locally generated based on the buyer's various of selections of the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2).

As to claim 7, Haji further teaches automatically calculating the price quote locally in real time (¶ 83), and the price quote is valid only use the latest version of the

Art Unit: 3694

pricing tool (¶ 89). Haji does not specifically teach the modifications to the order are made automatically. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow the modification of the order in Haji's teaching to be made automatically for accurately calculating the most recent price.

As to claim 8, iteratively modify the order and locally generate corresponding price quotes is taught by Haji as corresponding price quotes are locally generated based on the buyer's various of selections of the order (¶ 74 and Fig. 2).

As to claim 9, Haji teaches the server is operable to locally generate the pricing quote independent of the communication with the one or more sellers subsequent to receiving the one or more pricing tools from the sellers (¶ 75).

Claims 10, 13-19, 22-27 and 29 are also rejected by Haji in combination with Halperin as discussed in claims 1, 4-9 and 28 because they are not patentably distinct between claims 10, 13-19, 22-27, 29 and claims 1, 4-9, 28.

5. Claims 2, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Haji et al., US 2002/0035511 A1 in view of Shkedy, US 6,260,024 B1 and Halperin et al., US 6,105,004 as discussed above, and in further view of Official Notice evidenced by Tsiounis et al., US 2001/0032878 A1.

As to claims 2 and 11 and 20, Haji in view of Halperin teaches the one or more price tools as discussed above (see claim 1). Haji in view of Shkedy and Halperin does not specifically teach the pricing tools <u>are encrypted</u> to prevent the pricing tool from being used to determine how price quotes are calculated. Examiner takes Office Notice

Art Unit: 3694

that encrypting information for preventing unauthorized parties from revealing the information is old and well known in the art. For example, Tsiounis teaches encrypting information and data encryptions are well known in the art (¶ 17, 40). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to allow the pricing tools in the teachings of Haji modified by Shkedy and Halperin to be encrypted for better protecting the information associated with the pricing tools.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Inquire

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mary Cheung whose telephone number is (571)-272-6705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday – Thursday from 10:00 AM to

Art Unit: 3694

7:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell, can be reached on (571) 272-6712.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceedings is assigned are as follows:

(571) 273-8300

(Official Communications; including After Final

Communications labeled "BOX AF")

(571) 273-6705

(Draft Communications)

/Mary Cheung/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3694 March 18, 2008